Message

From: Saul Bloom [saulbloom@arcecology.org]

Sent: 5/15/2014 4:13:59 AM

To: Tenley, Clancy [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=332b612b49654aba974a7ff2ce246b99-CTENLEY]

CC: Chesnutt, John [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=e1cd369e94474c2c8a876fb16943320a-JCHESNUT]; Moutoux, Nicole

[/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=574b3a85014d46d3965b59700a04a55e-NMOUTOUX]

Subject: RE: Request for a meeting

Hello Clancy,

Thank you. I look forward to hearing from Ms. Moutoux after May 27th.

Safe travels,

Saul Bloom Executive Director Arc Ecology 1317 D Evans Avenue San Francisco, CA 94124 P 415.643.1190 M 510.290.9488

E saulbloom@arcecology.org

W arcecology.org

From: Tenley, Clancy [mailto:Tenley.Clancy@epa.gov]

Sent: Wednesday, May 14, 2014 5:44 PM

To: Saul Bloom

Cc: Chesnutt, John; Moutoux, Nicole **Subject:** RE: Request for a meeting

Hi Saul. Good to hear from you. Yes, I would be happy to hear more about TI. I'll be on travel until May 27 but perhaps we can set something up after that. Our point of contact here will be Nicole Moutoux.

Clancy

Clancy Tenley Assistant Director Superfund Division (415)972-3785

From: Saul Bloom [mailto:saulbloom@arcecology.org]

Sent: Wednesday, May 14, 2014 10:37 AM

To: Tenley, Clancy **Cc:** Chesnutt, John

Subject: Request for a meeting

Hi Clancy,

It's been a while since we've spoken. As you may or may not know, Arc Ecology's interests aren't limited to the Bayview and Hunters Point Shipyard. We also provide technical support to communities around the Bay Area and the State. Treasure Island is of particular importance to us. I was a member of the Treasure Island Reuse Advisory Committee (the predecessor to the Treasure Island Advisory Committee), we were instrumental in the creation of the Treasure Island Restoration Advisory Board and have been members of the since its inception.

I met with John Chestnut on April 18th to talk about evaluating Treasure Island for NPL listing. John suggested that when he returned from his vacation the two of us sit down with you to talk about this further. I wanted to give John a couple of weeks to get settled back in before forwarding this request to you.

Years ago when TI first closed it was one of the last installation's in the region I would have considered for NPL listing. Unfortunately as we have all learned over the last couple of years – much to our collective disappointment I think – TI is not the installation we thought it was when it was closed.

At the time, it was generally assumed that TI had been largely administrative, with some training activities and housing. Indeed even the Navy's Regional Plans from 1986 onward failed to mention the extent to which TI had actually been a significant training and industrial site.

As a consequence of this lack of information, the City put a lot of energy into making TI a residential community with a significant amount of recreational and cultural activities. There are now more than 2,000 full time residents not including the youth housed there by the Job Corps program. Unfortunately these post closure uses has brought the general public and most significantly residents directly into long term contact with contamination and remedial sites.

While TI may still be less contaminated than other sites; it is this proximity of residents and the public to sites more significantly contaminated than previously believed and the recent revelations of the likelihood of a more generalized distribution of undocumented radiological and toxic contamination that warrants another look at inclusion on the NPL.

Many residents of the Island have been there over ten years and are thus within the time frame for ailments derived from environmental exposure. News reports by the San Francisco Chronicle and the Center for Investigative Reporting are reporting ailments typical of environmental exposure in the residents.

I realize (and John certainly pointed out) that there hasn't been any new NPL listings in quite some time. Nevertheless having recently reviewed EPA's guidance for listing, it does seem to me that TI might fit the bill. There would be a number of benefits to public and environmental health from listing including enhancing facility's priority for BRAC cleanup dollars.

I would appreciate the opportunity to meet with you and John at your earliest convenience to explore this question further. If you are interested, I can arrange for a tour similar to the one I provided for the Bayview in 2011.

Thanks,

Saul Bloom
Executive Director
Arc Ecology
1317 D Evans Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94124
P 415.643.1190
M 510.290.9488
E saulbloom@arcecology.org
W arcecology.org